

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,484	10/15/2001	Ralf Janke	Micronas.6437	1533
7.	590 12/23/2005		EXAM	INER
Patrick J. O'Shea			WACHSMAN, HAL D	
	nd Kosakowski, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1500 Main Street, Suite 912 Springfield, MD 01115				TATER NOMBER
Springheid, MD 01113			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.•			į.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/977,484	JANKE, RALF	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hal D. Wachsman	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the followlard places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods: The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months. 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The report the final rejection. Visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on the content of the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. teatutory period for reply originally set in the	affidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 of the final rejection, whichever the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE a) and the appropriate extension of the final Office action; or (2)	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the er is later. In no D WITHIN TWO ension fee have on fee under 37 n as set forth in (b)
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 December 2005. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reparameters.)	A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	11.37 must be filed w FR 41.37(e)), to avoid	ithin two months I dismissal of the
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1. 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re 116 and 41.33(a)).	oTE below); educing or simplifying	g the issues for
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	l (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	• ——	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 6-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The first in the state of the	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: _____.

11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2857

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner respectfully maintains the position of the Final Office action. Arguments are presented with respect to the Kashiwabara et al. reference in this after-final amendment however as was already noted in paragraph 7 of the Final Office action "In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F. 2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA)...". In addition, no arguments were presented with respect to the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art, Vines et al. and the Blossfeld et al. references and specifically with respect to the features that these references were used to teach.